UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,010	11/14/2003	Chin-Jung Chen	SUND 482	7872	
23995 RABIN & Berd	7590 05/04/200 lo. PC	7	EXAMINER		
1101 14TH STREET, NW			TOTH, KAREN E		
SUITE 500 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3735		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,010	CHEN, CHIN-JUNG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karen E. Toth	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	January <u>2007</u> .		
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) Dobjected t	b by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		A and the other Ale	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ul>			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Bures</li> </ol>		in received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wisniewski (US Patent 3459404).

Wisnewski discloses an air-pressure adjusting device that has an outlet (element 26) comprising a driving element, such as an electromagnetic coil (element 76); a shifting element coupled to and driven by the driving element and that has a cavity (column 2, lines 65-67; portion shown with skinny diagonal lines surrounding element 80 in figure 1); and a deformation element disposed at the cavity of the shifting element (element 80); where the deformation element exerts a force to cover the outlet according to a degree of the displacement of the shifting element, and the deformation element controls the amount of air released to adjust an air pressure (column 1, lines 31-33).

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giorgi (US Patent 3558099).

Wisnewski discloses an air-pressure adjusting device that has an outlet (element 50) comprising a driving element, such as an electromagnetic coil (element 10); a shifting element coupled to and driven by the driving element and

Art Unit: 3735

that has a cavity (element 76, where the cavity is the center portion of the spiral spring); and a deformation element disposed at the cavity of the shifting element (elements 62 and 64); where the deformation element exerts a force to cover the outlet according to a degree of the displacement of the shifting element, and the deformation element controls the amount of air released to adjust an air pressure (column 2, lines 42-50).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Negishi (US Patent 6346082) in view of Wisniewski.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,010

Art Unit: 3735

Negishi discloses a blood pressure monitoring device having a bladder (column 2, lines 63-67), where the pressure of the air in the bladder is controlled by a valve (column 2, lines 63-67). Wisniewski teaches using the valve described above with reference to claim 1 to control the pressure of air in a device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the valve of Wisniewski to control the air pressure of Negishi's blood pressure bladder, since it is merely substituting one component for an equivalent.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - US Patent 6007046 to Rothermel, which discloses a similar invention.
  - US Patent 3740019 to Kessell, which discloses a similar invention.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen E. Toth whose telephone number is 571-272-6824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 3735

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VV kot CHARLES A. MARMOR II PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700